

Informal Dialogue on Response Measures

*****Draft Agenda*****

Speakers are being confirmed

Date: December 1, 2019

Start time: 9:00- 12:30

Location: AC Hotel Madrid Feria, Vía de los Poblados 3, Madrid, 28033, Spain

Project Background and Approach

The climate change Agreement, agreed in Paris in December 2015, was largely defined through a work programme that was completed in Paris, with the exception of the Article 6 rule book.

Article 4.15 of the PA covers the forum on response measures under the PA whose functions, work programme, and modalities and procedures were developed are being developed. Work on the development of the six-year work programme was started at SBSTS 50 in Bonn and should be completed in Santiago at COP 25.

This meeting is part of the "Informal Dialogue on Response Measures" project, an independent initiative aimed at creating an informal dialogue where the participants can explore, discover, explain and understand different points of view related to the issues in Article 4.15 of the Paris Agreement.

This process is totally separated from the UNFCCC negotiating process. It has no standing. There is no intention, or mandate, to produce any text, or negotiate an outcome. Participants are invited in their personal capacity, without nameplates or name tags. This is simply a process to allow for discussions in an informal setting of issues that participants want to have a better understanding related to views and specific issues, and above all, to the extent possible, WHY. Sometimes issues may come up in the course of the formal discussions and sometimes they may simply be issues that participants want to explore.

This meeting will focus on two issues: a) a case study on how to report on Response Measures. The country that was chosen for this case study is Chile; b) the case study will provide practical experience and will help inform the discussions on the development of the six-year work programme.

9:00 Welcome

- A. Marcu, ERCST

9:15 Presentation of “Reporting on Response Measures under BUR – Case Study on Chile”

While there has been considerable debate on response measures it has been largely procedural, with a number of workshops to raise awareness. At this time there has been little practical work on how to identify and report on response measures and their impacts.

The aim of this study is to provide and test a methodology which assesses and analyses the adverse, and unintended impacts of existing, and emerging, climate change mitigation measures and policies, coming from both foreign jurisdictions, and international policies. This will be achieved by identifying key vulnerable sectors, and relevant response measures.

The presentation on this case study will be comprised of two parts:

- Presentation of the methodology on how to approach this work, including sources of data, different algorithms, modelling, etc.
- Presentation of the results of the study by identifying response measures and their impacts on Chile. While the focus will be on international response measures, this is a period of transition and many of the response measures will be domestic in nature. A review of the domestic measures will also be included, albeit at a much higher level of granularity.

The project team will undertake the initial presentation of the methodology, including details about the modeling that was used. The project team will also present the results of the project for the “Case Study: Chile”.

This will be followed by reactions from representative from different sectors of society in Chile. The last part will be dedicated to a roundtable discussion with the participants.

Presentation: A. Marcu, A. Cosbey, W. Stoefs, ERCST, R. O’ Ryan Gallardo, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez

Reactions

- **M. Pinto**, Govt. of Chile
- **J-P. Searle**, Govt. of Chile
- **R. Palacios**, Sofofa
- **R. Adler Miserendino**, USA

11:00 Coffee break

11:20 The forum on the impacts of the implementation of response measures & Katowice Committee of Experts

This section of the workshop will provide an opportunity to discuss the outcomes of the KCI discussions and see how the Informal Dialogue can contribute to the work of the Committee and the Forum.

There will be initial reactions from a number of participants to discuss their reaction to what was highlighted by the Case Study and this is meant as an informal dialogue and roundtable discussion.

- **T. Albara**, S. Arabia
- **P. Govindasamy**, Singapore
- **S. West**, EU

12:30 Conclusions and end of meeting

12:30 Lunch