## Reflections from SB56

ERCST "Informal Forum on Implementation of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement" TOKYO, 6 September 2022



#### Key takeaways

#### **Details**

1<sup>st</sup> meeting of Glasgow Committee on Non-market approaches

- Co-chairs published an informal note capturing the discussions by Parties. The first meeting was adjourned after Parties agreed on a conclusion.
- Diverging views mainly on matchmaking function for web-based platform.

Positive engagement and active participation

- Many submissions received from Parties and Non-party stakeholders leading up to SB 56.
- Continued throughout SBSTA 56 with high attendance during the in-session workshop

Schedule for work programme

- Parties provided inputs to the Co-chairs informal notes on the period and timeline and outcomes of programme activity
- Divergence views exist on the outcomes of programme activity e.g. establishment working groups for good practices in the focus

**UNFCCC** web-based platform

- Parties provided inputs to the Co-chairs informal notes on the specifications for the UNFCCC web-based platform
- There are diverging views on whether the web-based platform should include a matchmaking functionality and mapping of NMAs and opportunities.



#### Roadmap to COP 27 for Article 6, paragraph 8 work programme



- Report for the in-session workshop at SBSTA 56
- Technical report on the specifications for the UNFCCC web-based platform for recording and exchanging information
- > Synthesis report on the basis of the submissions from Parties
- Virtual intersessional workshop on web-platform



- Technical paper on NMA linkages and submission from Parties
- SBSTA Chair informal document incl. textual proposals



SBSTA 57 recommendation and CMA 4 decision on the schedule for implementing the work programme activities and the specifications for the UNFCCC web-based platform



#### **Key takeaways**

#### **Details**

#### **Share of Proceeds**

- Build based on CDM; Apply both at registration and issuance.
   (Admin levy)
- Monetizing collected A6.4ERs (e.g., price triggers, minimum volume, timing) is to be developed by the Adaptation Fund Board or the CMA
- Frequency of monetary review years (2/3/5)
- Fee charged at R&I should cover both SOP admin and adaptation, while needing to determine the proportion of SOP adaptation in the fee (e.g. 20 or 30 per cent);
- > CA applies to both auth and non-auth units

#### **OMGE**

- > OMGE applies to both Auth and non-Auth
- > Additional OMGE beyond 2% can follow Vol .Cancellation mode.
- CA only applies to Authorized (NO);
- First transfer definition (mutatis-mutandis 6.2)/NO;
- OMGE applies to transitioned unit / NO do not apply

#### **Process for transition**

- Application of methodology (2025 and if HP wish not to)
- Crediting period from previous period to be included or not.
- Adjustment and application of SOP/OMGE
- Relaxation to transition activity (NO)
- Simple formats for transition; need to revalidation??
- Expedition for small scale/PoA what it means??



#### Key takeaways from SBSTA 56 – Article 6.4 (Reporting)

#### **Key takeaways**

Avoiding duplication of reporting information:

**Linkages with 6.2 reporting** 

**Indication by HP for** 

participating in 6.4 Mech

## Reporting by HP pursuant to 6.4 reporting

#### **Details**

- Information is highly centralized, clarity on what is relevant information for parties to report??
- Public information is available and do not duplicate and add constraints
- Simplified process for reporting of 6.4 activities is needed if they are subject to reporting under 6.2;
- ➤ 6.4 activity level information, whereas 6.2 Party-level information;
- 6.4 authorized for NDC, OIMP triggers 6.2 reporting
- MR links to the IR but not to the Article 6 database;
- Detailed quantitative information is to be reported and collected through the MR which should be connected to the Article 6 DB;
  - HP are to submit an initial report containing the items of information included in paragraphs 26 and 27 of the RMP;
- Some of the sections in the initial report could be reported by the HP and some provided by the A.6.4 SB
- Identification of any further information in the initial report by the host Party is needed.
- Form and template to be defined; information only on CA.
- Quantitative information on 6.4 by Sec or 6.4 SB. Prefilled template
- Timing of information pursuant to participation and optionality exercised by HP



#### Key takeaways

## Use of CERS for first or first updated NDC

#### **Details**

- Mechanics of moving and tagging is left (Admin to tag??)
- Mostly related to mech registry, intl registry etc broad package
- OMGE/SOP applies to transition unit / Do not apply
- Procedure to trigger and use; Ensure no double counting.

Emission avoidance and conservation enhancement

- Concept and definition (Non-GHG emitting tech, REDD+)
- Eligibility: Removal activities that qualify as ER/Removal.
- Future work: Address at SB, Meth, Sec literature review.

**National arrangements** 

- ➤ Wait for A.6.4 implementation rules to conclude
- ➤ Review of possible gaps in the 6.4 RMP with respect to the responsibilities of Parties and the A.6.4 SB is needed

**Mechanism registry** 

- New functions and specifications are needed (SOP&OMGE), should relate to [integrate] different infra elements like IR, NR etc. [only IR]
- Use the lessons from different existing registry system, including private sector accounts
- Include elements such as security, audit etc.
- Specific process for issuing A.6.4 ER, authorization, CA by HP



#### Implementation of 6.4 RMP (through work of SBSTA)

Substantive aspects	Outcomes (further guidance)	SBSTA 56 outcomes
Roles of host Party	<ul> <li>Elaboration and application of national arrangements for the mechanism under the approval and supervision of the A.6.4 SB</li> </ul>	SUB
Scope	Inclusion of Avoidance	SUB
Process implementation	<ul><li>Mechanism registry</li><li>SOP for adaptation</li><li>OMGE</li></ul>	SUB, TP, WS
	Transition of activities	SUB, TP, WS
	<ul> <li>Use of CERs towards first or first updated NDCs.</li> </ul>	SUB, TP, WS
Reporting	<ul> <li>Reporting by host Parties on their Article 6, paragraph</li> <li>4, activities</li> </ul>	SUB, TP, WS

## SBSTA CHAIR TO PRODUCE INFORMAL TEXTUAL PROPOSALS WITH DRAFT DECISIONS

UNFCCC - Update the status of work on the CB and implementation plan



#### Mandates related to article 6.4 RMP (through A.6.4 SB)

Substantive aspects	Outcomes (further decisions at CMA)
Rules of procedure for the Supervisory Body	<ul> <li>RoP and to operate and hold meetings based on the annex pending any further guidance</li> </ul>
SOP for administration	<ul> <li>Appropriate levels including to enable a periodic contribution to the SOP for adaptation for the Adaptation Fund</li> </ul>
Methodology procedures 6.4	The application of the requirements in the methodologies
Elaboration for removal activities 6.4	<ul> <li>Appropriate MRV provision, addressing reversals, avoidance of leakage, and avoidance of other negative environmental and social impacts</li> </ul>



#### **Key takeaways**

#### **Details**

#### Format of the report

- > All reports developed in a tabular format,
- Enable cross-checks between tables and reports,
- Make the distinction between quantitative and qualitative information
- Initial report can be either in from of the table or outline
- Regular report should be in form of tables.
- The tables/formats developed should provide clear information on the delivery of OMGE and resources for adaptation

## Agreed electronic format Granularity

**Process for submission** 

- One simple aggregated table for all information required by pa 20

   (a-b); there should be flexibility.
- > Should remain as in decision text, further granularity needed.
- ➤ The process of submission be streamlined, including the frequency of submission of the initial report, annual information and regular information;

Initial report and regular information

- Initial report contains disaggregated information, including on how Article 6 contributes to ambition and aligns with Parties' NDCs, long-term strategies, etc
- Parts of the regular information will be provided in the BTR itself and the same information should not be submitted twice
- Populate regular information from A.6 database.



#### Key takeaways

#### **Details**

# Infrastructure Registries /International registry

- Registries may be different, some hold assets and some track records or both;
- National registries must serialize units and track them though their life cycle, including authorization; do not track issuance or units, but rather reflect the movement of assets in the underlying registries;
- Determine how national registries will communicate with one another;
- National registries do not need to connect to one another but do need to link through the CARP and the IR
- National registries can, but do not have to, connect to the IR:
- No requirement for a link between registries that Parties have, or have access to, and any other system,
- The registries should also allow for disaggregation and authorized entities to be captured;
- CARP platform that integrates the international registry and the Article 6 database and has a user-friendly, dynamic, public interface that is accessible and easy to read
- Is a system that compiles the information submitted by participating Parties and may have a multi-layered structure such as a relational database;
- Article 6 database: consistency check, reconciliation between amounts, full integration between the international registry and the Article 6 database;



#### Key takeaways

#### **Details**

- A.6 TER review the consistency of the information provided on the cooperative approach in accordance with the guidance and not only internal consistency of the reported information.
- Depth of the review
- Article 5 vs Article 6 Assessment of REDD+
- Timing: Reviews should be organized in periodic cycles, at least once or twice a year;
- Reviews should start with the review of the initial report and be followed by the review of the regular information and annual information
- Consistency between the reporting of all Parties participating in a cooperative approach in respect of that cooperative approach
- Recommended action when inconsistency is identified and how the party should respond.
- > Implications of non-responsiveness to recommended actions:
- Composition and training of review etc.

#### **Review**



#### **Key takeaways**

#### **Details**

## Special circumstances of the LDC/SIDS

- Rules for implementing Article 6.2 must be fully understood and implemented before exemptions and flexibility can be considered for LDCs and SIDS.
- Could be reviewed after 5 years (timeline, participation, reporting etc).

#### Accounting

- Need for capacity building
- The relationship between trajectory, trajectories and budget;
- Time lag between corresponding adjustments made between issuing and using Party will affect reporting

#### **Avoidance**

- Concept and definition (Non-GHG emitting tech, REDD+)
- Eligibility: Removal activities that qualify as ER/Removal.



#### Implementation of A.6.2 in 2022 (through the work of SBSTA)

Outcomes (further guidance)	SBSTA 56 outcomes
Reporting: Tables and Outlines	SUB, TP, WS
Review : Guidelines	SUB, TP, WS
<ul> <li>Infrastructure: Recommendations for implementation:</li> <li>Connections between IR and MR</li> <li>Survey choice between implementing a registry, having access to a registry and using IR</li> </ul>	SUB, TP, WS
ITMOs - Inclusion of 'avoidance'	SUB
Accounting rules LDCs/SIDS circumstances; Corr. adjustments	SUB

## SBSTA CHAIR TO PRODUCE INFORMAL TEXTUAL PROPOSALS WITH DRAFT DECISIONS

UNFCCC - Update the status of work on the CB and implementation plan



#### United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

### **THANK YOU**

A.K.Perumal
Team Lead
parumugam@unfccc.int

