

Session 1: Implementing Article 6.4: Design options and the role of different actors in the possible transition of CDM activities

15.03.2021, OECD/IEA CCXG – Global Forum on the Environment and Climate Change



Initial feedback and questions

- Excellent analysis of actors involved, their strengths and limitations
- Great recommendations for efficient structure and coordination
- Questions:
 - 1. Why are **we (actor: Party)** talking about transition?
 - 2. Which actors are we mandating to do what?
 - 3. What are we doing between 15 March 2021 and 1 November 2021?

9

Why are we talking about transition?

- Parties (CMA) decide what can be used towards
 NDCs in the context Paris: new & existing activities
- Implementing transition in pursuit of PA goals:
 - Generate new and additional ERs (and avoid discontinuation of ERs)
 - Contribute to achievement of NDCs
 - Raise ambition through Article 6
 - Encourage private sector action
- Paper proposes transition assessment work primarily by 6.4 SB and host Party (PP to «only» express interest in transition)



Which actors are we mandating to do what?

- The somewhat overlooked actor: project participants;
 - Know their own project: better placed to assess new context than host Party or 6.4 SB
- «New context» project assessment questions ideally agreed at COP26 (in CMA decision)
 - Alternatively could be required by host Parties
- PP assessment is voluntary, not necessarily very time intensive
- Will be valuable input to 6.4 SB, host Party and public to regain trust in the new mechanism



Which actors are we mandating to do what?

- PP assessment of necessary project updates in light of «on the ground» developments since initial CDM registration:
 - Specific methodology application or input values (e.g. changed GEF)
 - Adoption host Party NDC affecting sector & explanation how project contributes to NDC
 - Confirmation that without revenue expected through registration under 6.4 project would discontinue

Make PP assessment public



What are we doing between today and 1 November 2021?

- Everything starts with the COP26 CMA decision: include as much guidance to 6.4 SB, host Parties and PP as possible
- Parties to work on «new context» assessment questions to be agreed at COP26
- Reflect on process: eligible PP could request reregistration in a centralized manner to 6.4 SB
 - Grouped and forwarded to host Parties
 - Should re-assessment be DOE validated?