# Revision of ETS state aid guidelines: views from the pulp and paper industry

Nicola Rega

Climate Change and Energy Director



### Some key principles

1. State aid to be in line with provisions in the ETS directive

2. ETS compensation and internal market

3. Regulatory stability and predictability



# State aid to be in line with provisions in the ETS directive

- 1. The purpose is to protect against the risk of carbon leakage
  - Final check: is indirect compensation fit for purpose?
- 2. No justification to apply for indirect compensation rules different than for direct carbon costs
  - 100% protection up to the benchmark
  - No discrimination within eligible sectors
  - No ad hoc rules, such as energy efficiency requirements
- 3. Current rules on indirect cost compensation need to be aligned with revised ETS directive and, more generally, with EU Long Term Strategy
  - Need to adjust to revised rules in ETS (allocation plans, activity levels update, review of benchmark values)
  - Need to reflect carbon costs formation in electricity prices
  - Need to take into consideration the role electrification will play (be careful with KPI: efficiency vs electrification)



### ETS compensation and internal market

Intra-EU trade is as relevant as extra-EU trade. Therefore the risk of distortion of competition within the EU is always relevant. However:

- The number of countries providing compensation has constantly increased.
- Several governments are currently considering developing compensation schemes.
- Increased exposure to carbon costs, due to increase in carbon prices, have contributed in intensifying these discussions.
- Although schemes are different from one country to the other, similar levels
  of compensation reduced significantly the risk of market distortion.
- It is indeed true that several governments have not yet provided compensation for indirect costs. However, there is no technical reason preventing these countries to provide financial compensation for indirect carbon costs.

## Regulatory stability and predictability

- 1. Rules on compensation need to be predictable and reliable
  - Methodology to calculate compensation need to strike the right balance between accuracy, feasibility, transparency, and administrative burden.
  - What ultimately matters for operators is the ability to calculate upfront the compensation levels. And to make sure compensation will ultimately be there.
- 2. Timing is also important
  - The new ETS aid rules will be adopted few months before the starting of the next trading period.
  - It is of paramount importance to ensure regulatory stability and predictability for operators.



#### Thank you

#### **CEPI aisbl / Confederation of European Paper Industries**

250 Avenue Louise, Box 80, B-1050 Brussels Tel: +32 2 627 49 11 / Fax: +32 2 624 81 37 mail@cepi.org

www.cepi.org

Follow us:









CEPI endeavours to protect intellectual property and original works of authorship. All images used in this presentation are royalty-free for non-commercial use or have been developed or acquired by CEPI for its sole use. If you notice any element that may infringe upon intellectual property rights, please inform us at <a href="mail@cepi.org">mail@cepi.org</a>.

If this presentation is shared with you by the author, you will be required to respect the authorship and intellectual property rights of the images herewithin.

