



Brief discussion input

Panel on impacts of the new clean energy package targets on the EU ETS and functioning of the MSR – Brussels, October 12th 2018

Johannes Ackva; Project Manager, adelphi

What will we know in 2021 that was unknown before?



"Within three years of the start of the operation of the reserve and at five-year intervals thereafter, the Commission shall, on the basis of an analysis of the orderly functioning of the European carbon market, review the reserve and submit a proposal, where appropriate, to the European Parliament and to the Council. Each review shall pay particular attention to the percentage figure for the determination of the number of allowances to be placed in the reserve pursuant to Article 1(5) of this Decision, as well as the numerical value of the threshold for the total number of allowances in circulation and the number of allowances to be released from the reserve pursuant to Article 1(6) or (7) of this Decision. In its review, the Commission shall also look into the impact of the reserve on growth, jobs, the Union's industrial competitiveness and on the risk of carbon leakage."

What will we know in 2021 that was unknown before?



Internal

- Significant additional MS policies, e.g. decisions on German coal phase-out.
- More experience with **effects of higher EUA prices** (EUR 15+) on competitiveness and carbon leakage.
- Better understanding of power sector trajectory (RES & EE goals, but also role of nuclear, fuel switching) → need for hedging.

External

- State and shape of the Chinese ETS as a major determinant of carbon leakage concerns.
- State of US climate policy, likelihood of carbon pricing in US (US Presidential election in November 2020).

How can we improve our understanding of hedging needs?



- Assuming that a central determinant of quantity parameters of the MSR are hedging needs..
 - How do hedging needs change in the power sector with
 - an increased role for short-term grid balancing with natural gas?
 - Increased share of intermittent renewables?
 - Coal phase-outs?
 - Nuclear retirements?
 - What are hedging needs of industrial entities when free allocation declines and prices rise?

What can we conclude from macroeconomic indicators?



- For the criterion of the MSR's impact "on economic growth, jobs, the Union's industrial competitiveness",
 - How likely is it that we can observe an impact of the MSR on these factors by 2021?
 - Historically, EUA prices have not been found to be major drivers of economic growth / decline or the Union's industrial competitiveness.
 - What are useful, causal links' and related intermediary variables that
 we should observe to find / refute a connection between MSR and
 macroeconomic indicators?
 - If the stipulated mechanism go through the EUA price which seems likely – how do we attribute price levels and changes to the MSR vis-à-vis other aspects of the Phase IV reform or other (policy) factors?

F

Johannes Ackva

Project Manager ackva@adelphi.de

adelphi

T +49 (0)30-89 000 68-0 Alt-Moabit 91 F +49 (0)30-89 000 68-10 10559 Berlin

www.adelphi.de

Germany

office@adelphi.de