

September 1, 2018 | Bangkok, Thailand

# Response measures: Revised draft elements – what way forward?

Andrei Marcu, Director, ERCST & Senior Fellow, ICTSD

# Possible issues for discussion

- Level of granularity of the FPA work programme.
  - WP have been at high level of granularity (e.g. economic diversification & just transition)
  - SB 48 “Revised draft elements of the modalities, work programme and functions of the forum on the impact of the implementation of response measures under the Paris Agreement” has an Annex that outlines a detailed work plan or elements of the work programme

# Possible issues for discussion

- Governance
  - “to operate as a permanent executive committee on response measures under the CMA with a negotiating arm (forum under the Paris Agreement) and a permanent technical arm (group of experts); VS
  - The forum to operate in accordance with the procedures applicable to a contact group under the SBI and the SBSTA

# Possible issues for discussion

- Articulation between IF and FPA
  - One or two forums. Was that solved in SB 48 conclusions?
  - Management of the M&P of the IF and the relationship with the modalities of the FPA. Timing of the determination of the WPs
  - Relationship between the work programme under the IF and the FPA
  - Which supreme body provides oversight
  - Other ?

# Possible issues for discussion

- Functions

- Serving as an enabler for action in the context of implementing domestic mitigation actions; VS
- Implementing measures to address adverse impacts of response measures;

# Possible issues for discussion

- Possible linkages
  - Article 6 (international cooperation/markets) SBSTA agenda item 12
  - Article 13 (transparency framework) APA agenda item 5
  - Article 14 (global stock take) APA agenda item 6
  - Article 15 (Compliance) APA agenda item 7