

Interlinkages

- Many interlinkages mentioned by Parties when negotiating implementation of Article 6
- The Linkages Roundtable will be an opportunity for Parties to discuss this issue in specific session. Monday, 11:00, Plenary Room, UNESCAP.
- Going to focus on APA 3 (NDCs- ICTU, Features, Accounting) and APA 5 (enhanced transparency framework)
- Session on Article 6, 1.5 hours
- “Open to Parties Only”

Linkages with APA3 - ICTU

- Informal Notes make a link to ICTU in Article 4
- Information, clarity, transparency and understanding:
 - Key for understanding the scope of NDC in terms of sectors, gases
 - In particular, understanding inside/outside is not possible without this
 - CA also potentially difficult without understanding relevant emissions / target / target reductions
 - Potentially addressed in Art 6 guidance/RMPs through specific reporting for those Parties voluntarily participating;

Linkages with APA3 - Accounting

- Informal Notes make a link to Accounting in Article 4.13
- Ongoing discussion on where/how/if ITMO accounting and NDC accounting interact
 - 3 of the 4 technical approaches leave most of the ITMO accounting to Article 6 guidance
 - 1 of the 4 technical approaches gives a substantial amount to Article 4.13, essentially all beyond the net position of a Party with regard to transfers/acquisitions
- Potential Considerations:
 - Decision 1/CP.21 says double counting is avoided on the basis of a CA; what constitutes CA?
 - 4.13 guidance only mandatory from second and subsequent NDC;
 - How does timing work with 4.13 guidance and how does ITMO accounting interact with other accounting considerations (common metric determined under 4.13; accounting for land and forests etc.)

Linkages with APA5 –Transparency

- Link to Article 13
- Inventories
 - Important for most accounting approaches and also in participation requirements;
 - Particularly essential for emissions based approach;
- Reporting
 - Broad Range of Information being considered - information on Cooperative Approaches, A6.4Mex, ITMOs and Emissions Reductions
 - To be included as Information necessary to track progress in implementing and achieving NDC (Article 13.7b); in BTR or other Article 13 agreed reporting vehicle?
- Potential Considerations
 - Timing Issues – Inventories reported irregularly currently; also different time lags for reporting inventories (-2, -4); what is timeline for potential participation requirements? Etc.
 - How/does flexibility determined in Article 13 apply or is the information required by all Parties voluntarily participating in Art 6 regardless?
 - Can TERs review information from Art. 6? Should this be reviewed through a different/purpose built process?

What are we doing for the next week? Help Us Help You!!

- We have the informal notes and agreed to work on this basis
- We need to make progress beyond the informal notes here in Bangkok if we are to agree anything in Katowice
- We have a massive amount of technical detail and probably can't get through it all
- How can we move forward?
 - Identifying key/essential issues for decision in Katowice will not work if Parties are not prepared to prioritise. Everything cannot be a key issue.
 - We have difficulty moving toward a result in Katowice if we don't agree on what that result should be – need to determine what is the result we want at COP24 and what could be framed for further consideration (beyond Katowice)
- Substance, not process – We will try
 - Not enough time in session for intensive conceptual level discussions, lessons learned etc. We are beyond that.
 - Parties all like a particular format or structure (major headings, different placement etc.) but, if we accommodate every format or structure we are lost; Is the substance there? Isn't that enough?
 - Limited session time and lots of work to be completed implies a progression in text is required in Bangkok
 - We will seek to have bilaterals with all Party groups before the start on Tuesday afternoon.

Looking ahead to the Katowice product

Agenda item	CMA adopting decision (without prejudice to final form)	The substantive product	The work plan for 2019	Other possible decisions or content
Cooperative Approaches	Adopts the guidance, sets up the work plan for 2019	The guidance	Follow up technical work for 2019 (SBSTA or SBI)	?
The mechanism	Adopts the rules, modalities and procedures, Welcomes election of supervisory body members, Sets up the work plan for 2019	The rules, modalities and procedures	Follow up technical work for 2019 (SBSTA or SBI) Follow up technical work for the supervisory body	Potentially decisions (or decision text) related to Kyoto mechanisms, for CMA and/or CMP
The framework	Adopts the (further) work programme under the framework	Decision text on the framework The work programme	<i>May not be needed, as work programme being carried out</i>	